

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,119	10/09	/2003	Yoshinori Yoneda	4710-0101P	8073
2292	7590	01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH ST		LASCH & BIRC	MOORE, MARGARET G		
		, VA 22040-0747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
•	-			1712	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s)

	10/681,119	YONEDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Margaret G. Moore	1712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Peri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	gate of this communication, even if timely filed,	, may reduce any					
<u></u>							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	_· action is non-final.						
		secution as to the	morite ie				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in decordance with the produce direct 2	A parto quayro, 1000 O.B. 11, 40						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 to 4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are withdrawn fro 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 to 3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	om consideration.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and are all all accomposed and are all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)				

Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,119 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend upon another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 4 has not been further treated on the merits.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 to 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishikawa et al. '986.

Ishikawa et al. teach polyimidesiloxane compositions. See Ref. Ex. No. 8, which reacts the diamine MBHA (which is defined on column 8 and forms units of formula (3)) with a-BPDA (which is defined on column 8 and forms units of formula (1)) and with PSI (which is defined on column 8 and line 9 of column 10 and will form units of formula (5)). This polymer is soluble in organic solvents (column 1, line 59). This anticipates claim 1. With regard to the phrase "colorless and transparent", these properties will be inherently associated with the polymer. Although Ishikawa is silent as to these properties, since the polymer in Ishikawa et al. is the same as that claimed, it too will inherently possess these properties.

The amounts of each diamine used in this example is within the range of claim 2.

With regard to claim 3, Ishikawa et al. are also silent as to this property. Again, since the polymer in Ishikawa is structurally the same as that claimed, any property that is inherently associated with the polymer claimed will also be inherent in the prior art

Application/Control Number: 10/681,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

polymer. It is well settled that the discovery of a new property does not overcome anticipation when the claimed composition is known.

Though claim 4 is not under consideration, the examiner notes that column 7, line 56, teaches this limitation.

- 5. Ishikawa et al. '738 is cited as being of general interest. This reference also teaches diamines that will result in units of formula (3) but does not specifically prepare a polyimide using this diamine.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Margareff G. Moore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

mgm 1/4/05